Nitrogen processing in a tidal freshwater marsh: A whole-ecosystem $^{15}$N labeling study

Britta Gribsholt and Henricus T. S. Boschker
Netherlands Institute of Ecology (NIOO-KNAW), Centre for Estuarine and Marine Ecology, Korringaweg 7, 4401 NT Yerseke, The Netherlands

Eric Struyf
University of Antwerp, Department of Biology, Ecosystem Management Research Group, Universiteitsplein 1, B-2610 Wilrijk, Belgium

Maria Andersson and Anton Tramper
Netherlands Institute of Ecology (NIOO-KNAW), Centre for Estuarine and Marine Ecology, Korringaweg 7, 4401 NT Yerseke, The Netherlands

Loreto De Brabandere
Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Department of Analytical and Environmental Chemistry, Pleinlaan 2, B-1050 Brussel, Belgium

Stefan van Damme
University of Antwerp, Department of Biology, Ecosystem Management Research Group, Universiteitsplein 1, B-2610 Wilrijk, Belgium

Natacha Brion
Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Department of Analytical and Environmental Chemistry, Pleinlaan 2, B-1050 Brussel, Belgium

Patrick Meire
University of Antwerp, Department of Biology, Ecosystem Management Research Group, Universiteitsplein 1, B-2610 Wilrijk, Belgium

Frank Dehairs
Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Department of Analytical and Environmental Chemistry, Pleinlaan 2, B-1050 Brussel, Belgium

Jack J. Middelburg and Carlo H. R. Heip
Netherlands Institute of Ecology (NIOO-KNAW), Centre for Estuarine and Marine Ecology, Korringaweg 7, 4401 NT Yerseke, The Netherlands

Abstract
We quantified the fate and transport of watershed-derived ammonium in a tidal freshwater marsh fringing the nutrient-rich Scheldt River in a whole-ecosystem $^{15}$N labeling experiment. $^{15}$N-NH$_4^+$ was added to the floodwater entering a 3,477 m$^2$ tidal marsh area, and marsh ammonium processing and retention were traced in six subsequent tide cycles. We present data for the water phase components of the marsh system, in which changes in concentration and isotopic enrichment of NO$_3^-$, NO$_2^-$, N$_2$, N$_2$O, N$_2$H$_4$, and suspended particulate nitrogen (SPN) were measured in concert with a mass balance study. Simultaneous addition of a conservative tracer (NaBr) confirmed that tracer was evenly distributed, and the Br$^-$ budget was almost closed (115% recovery). All analyzed dissolved and suspended N pools were labeled, and 31% of added $^{15}$N-NH$_4^+$ was retained or transformed. Nitrate was the most important pool for $^{15}$N, with nitrification accounting for 30% of $^{15}$N-transformation. In situ whole-ecosystem nitrification rates were four to nine times higher than those in the water column alone, implying a crucial role for the large reactive marsh surface area in N-transformation. Under conditions of low oxygen concentrations and high ammonium availability, nitrifiers produced N$_2$O. Our results show that tidal freshwater marshes function not only as nutrient sinks but also as nutrient transformers.

Estuaries are well known to modify and attenuate nitrogen (N) transfer from rivers to the coastal sea (Billen et al. 1991), and tidal marshes have been reported to play a major role in nitrogen retention (Bowden 1987; Howarth et al. 1996; Cai et al. 2000). Marshes have high surface areas of sediment and biofilms in contact with the water, promoting processes that may change nitrogen speciation and may remove dissolved inorganic and organic nitrogen and enhance deposition of particulate nitrogen (Dame et al. 1996; Merrill
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and Cornwell 2000). There is considerable interest in exploiting the nitrogen ‘filtration’ capacity of wetland ecosystems in order to improve estuarine and coastal marine water quality. However, despite the widely held belief that wetland systems are important nutrient sinks, the literature regarding the influence of tidal freshwater wetlands on water quality is remarkably small (Merrill and Cornwell 2000). There is still much uncertainty about the underlying mechanisms and controls of nitrogen retention in marsh ecosystems, thus hindering their potential use and effective management for improvement of water quality.

The importance of freshwater marshes to the estuarine nitrogen budget is most often estimated with a mass-balance approach. This technique, however, is subject to considerable error and yields little information regarding the role and spatial and temporal distribution of the underlying processes. Use of stable isotope tracers to track N through aquatic ecosystems in situ is a relatively recent technique and has provided increased understanding of N processing in groundwater plumes (Tobias et al. 2001), lakes (Kling 1994), streams (Peterson et al. 1997; Tank et al. 2000; Hamilton et al. 2001), and small estuaries (Holmes et al. 2000; Hughes et al. 2000; Tobias et al. 2003). Here we present the results of a whole-ecosystem $^{15}$NH$_4^+$ tracer addition experiment to quantify the fate and transport of watershed-derived ammonium through a tidal freshwater marsh system. Combined with a mass-balance study, this approach allows a simultaneous examination of transport and processing of nitrogen in an intact ecosystem, something that is impossible to achieve in traditional bottle, enclosure, or mesocosm experiments (Schindler 1998; Holmes et al. 2000). Furthermore, this technique allows us to estimate whole-ecosystem nitrogen uptake and transformation without the confounding effects of altering floodwater N concentrations significantly.

The whole-ecosystem $^{15}$N labeling experiment was conducted in a tidal freshwater marsh fringing the nutrient-rich Scheldt River. Although many tidal marshes of the Scheldt basin have been reduced to very small size today (mainly by embankment for polder reclamation) (Desender and Maelfait 1999), they are still a prominent feature of the basin have been reduced to very small size. The vegetation cover is very dense, with I. grandulifera, Epilobium hirsutum, and Phragmites australis) and ruderal vegetation (dominated by Impatiens grandulifera, Epilobium hirsutum and Urtica dioica) at the higher elevations (Fig. 1b). The vegetation cover is very dense, with P. australis and I. grandulifera growing to exceptional heights of up to 4 m, as is commonly observed in Scheldt marshes. Three sampling stations within each of the vegetation types and on unvegetated creek banks were made accessible by walking boards. Marsh sampling stations within each vegetation type were chosen to represent the variation in topography as well as distance from the sampling bridge. Benthic microalgal mats dominated by the filamentous yellow–green alga Vaucheria sp. were abundant throughout the marsh, particularly in the creek bank and willow sites. A digital terrain model of the study area was constructed using a geographic information system and a detailed mapping of the topography.

The entire study area is flooded only at the highest tides (26% of the tides), and the experiment was initiated just before a spring tide on 24 May 2002, when a maximum of consecutive high tides followed (12 tides), and sampling
continued until the following spring tide cycle 31 tides after labeling (10 June 2002), when the entire study area was once again flooded. Measurements were carried out prior to labeling (T0) to establish natural abundance levels of 15N; at the tide when tracer was released (T0), and at five subsequent tides (T1, T2, T5, T9, and T31). The subscript denotes the tide number relative to tracer release.

Tracer release—On 25 May 2002 (T0), 1.98 moles of 15N-ammonium was added to the floodwater entering the study area. The tracer solution consisted of 1,247 g of 10.7% 15N labeled ammonium sulfate [(NH4)2SO4] and 50 kg of the conservative tracer NaBr dissolved in 250 liters of deionized H2O. The added tracer increased the 15N content of the ammonium pool to 1.3% and increased the total NH4 concentration by approximately 14% (but see following). An even distribution of 15N tracer throughout the marsh was accomplished by adding the tracer solution to the incoming tidal creek water proportionally to the water volume entering the study area. For this purpose, a simple tracer addition device was constructed. A 4.5-m–long, 24-mm–diameter polyvinyl chloride (PVC) pipe was placed on the railing of the sampling platform 2.67 m above the creek bed, fitted with 30 outlets and 150-cm–long 3.2-mm (inner diameter [ID]) Tygon tubing attached to each outlet. Each of the 30 outlet tubes was fitted with a clamp and placed into open PVC pipes (15-mm ID) attached vertically to the bridge and ending at various heights above the creek bed (release points, Fig. 2). Thus, three, four, five, six, and seven open pipes ended 20, 40, 60, 80, 100, and 120 cm above the creek bed, respectively. During floodwater labeling (T0), tracer flowed passively from an elevated small reservoir (25 liter) into the main pipe, where pressure, and thus the tracer flow rate through open valves, were kept constant (2.5 mL s−1) by maintaining a constant solution level in the small reservoir. The tracer level in the small reservoir was kept constant by continuously pumping tracer solution from a larger tank into the small reservoir, where a closed overflow returned excess.
tracer solution to the large tank. Tests prior to deployment confirmed that the flow out of each individual outlet was the same regardless of how many outlets were open. Enrichment solution then dripped via the Tygon tubes into the vertical pipes. The number of outlets open was continuously regulated to maintain a constant degree of labeling. Thus, initially, only outlets to the lowest situated release points were open, but as the water level and flow velocity in the creek rose, an increasing number of outlets releasing tracer higher above the creek bed were manually opened. Labeling was initiated when the first floodwater arrived at the sampling and tracer release platform.

**Creek-water sampling and analysis**—Creek water was sampled from the sampling bridge using an 80-cm-long (6 cm ID) water sampler fitted with a bottom valve. Care was taken to get an integrated water sample over the entire water column. At each time point, three samples were pooled and a 1-liter subsample was immediately brought to the field laboratory. Twelve creek-water samples were collected during the main tide, defined here as the duration from floodwater arrival below sampling platform until there was no change in water level for 30 min (flood + ebb), and three were collected from the seepage water during low tide. Additional 1-liter floodwater samples were collected from the 12 marsh sampling stations at high water during T<sub>o</sub> (maximum tide) and from the main river (the Scheldt).

Water samples (300–500 mL) were immediately filtered under vacuum through precombusted, preweighed GF/F Whatman filters. The filters were stored frozen for particulate ¹⁵Ν nitrogen analysis (see following). Filtered water samples (30 mL) for isotopic composition analysis of ammonium and nitrate were immediately transferred to 100-mL Schott bottles, capped tightly, and stored frozen. Duplicate samples for determination of ¹⁵Ν₂O and ¹⁴Ν₂ were preserved with 500 or 50 µL NaOH (2 mol L<sup>-1</sup>) in tightly capped (gas-tight crimp-seal septum) 60-mL and 20-mL headspace vials, respectively, stored at room temperature with no headspace, and were analyzed within 1 week. Preserving the samples with NaOH also trapped the carbon dioxide from the headspace later in front of the EA chromatography column. Results are based on the average of multiple gas injections from the same sample. This method of N₂O analysis is very sensitive for N₂O concentrations, and good results were obtained for the complete tidal cycle. Although our method of stable isotope analysis is not optimal for natural abundance ¹⁵Ν studies, precision was sufficient (standard deviation [SD] above 40 nmol L<sup>-1</sup> N₂O, approximately 0.020 atom% ¹⁵Ν) to detect significant labeling of the N₂O pool during the main tide (see Results). Isotopic composition of dissolved dinitrogen (³²Ν₂, ³¹Ν₂) in headspace gas (2 mL He headspace in 20-mL vials) was determined by EA-IRMS equipped with a Haysep Q column. Samples were injected directly in front of the EA chromatography column. Results are based on the average of three gas injections from the same sample. For calculations of enrichment of the total N₂ pool, an equilibrium concentration of 550 µmol L<sup>-1</sup> (20°C) was assumed.

Nutrient concentrations were measured colorimetrically on an automated Segmented Flow Analyzer. Bromide concentrations were determined by high-pressure ion chromatography with ultraviolet detection.

**Ex situ rate measurements**—Water-column nitrification rates (WNR) (T<sub>3</sub>–T<sub>6</sub>, six times over the tidal cycle) were determined in bottle incubations by measuring the transfer of ¹⁵Ν in ammonium to nitrate according to a modification of Horrigan et al. (1990). Briefly, creek-water samples were spiked with ¹⁴ΝHCl (98 atom%) to approximately 2% of the ambient NH₃ concentration and incubated in the dark at in situ temperature in 100-mL Scott bottles for 3 h. Incubations were ended by filtration through precombusted Whatman GF/F filters (21 mm), the ¹⁵Ν content of NH₃ and NO₃⁻ + NO₂⁻ in the filtrate measured by the diffusion method described above and nitrification rate calculated from the (linear) increase of ¹⁵Ν in NO₃⁻ + NO₂⁻. Absolute uptake rate of ammonium (µmol N L<sup>-1</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>) into the particulate nitrogen
Traps were sampled immediately following T0 and analyzed. Water with a 30-mL syringe by withdrawing 10 mL via a polyethylene tube gently inserted to the bottom of the trap. Water rose over the marsh surface, pipes captured water at 10-cm depth intervals, with the lowest trap collecting initial water.

Each marsh sampling station (Fig. 1b) during T0. Each water pool (PN) was determined according to Middelburg and Nieuwenhuize (2000).

**Discharge characteristics and additional measurements**—Two OTT-mill flow meters continuously (at 1-min intervals) measured current velocity at the bottom and surface of the creek center. The bottom flow meter was fixed ~20 cm above the creek bed, the top one maintained at ~30 cm below the water surface, according to the tidal level (monitored every minute). In addition, lateral surface flow rates were measured every 5–10 min at fixed points (0.5-m interval) between the flume walls with an electromagnetic flow meter (EMF, Valeport Model 801). During the seepage phase, here defined as the period after the main tide during which no decrease in water level was observed for 30 min, EMF measurements were conducted every hour. The creek profile was subdivided into lateral subsegments and discharge calculated per minute in each segment by multiplying the cross-sectional area of the channel by water velocity. These data were used to calculate advective water fluxes in and out of the study area.

Dissolved oxygen, specific conductivity, temperature, pH, and turbidity were recorded continuously (at 2-min intervals) during all tides using a Hydrolab Datasonde 3.

**Water traps in the marsh**—To evaluate if the 15NH4 tracer successfully mixed with the floodwater and was distributed evenly over the entire study area, water traps were placed at each marsh sampling station (Fig. 1b) during T0. Each water trap consisted of eight 30-cm-long PVC pipes (15-mm ID) attached at 10-cm height intervals to a bamboo pole. As the water rose over the marsh surface, pipes captured water at 10-cm depth intervals, with the lowest trap collecting initial floodwater and highest trap capturing water at high tide. Rhodamine addition tests revealed negligible mixing with overlying water once traps were filled. Traps were sampled with a 30-mL syringe by withdrawing 10 mL via a polyethylene tube gently inserted to the bottom of the trap. Water traps were sampled immediately following T0 and analyzed for Br− (conservative tracer) as described previously.

**Calculations**—Water budgets for each tide were calculated from the measured water flows (Table 1). Furthermore, the total volume of water covering the study area in a given tide and duration of water cover at each sampling station were calculated from the digital terrain model using the maximum water levels measured at the sampling platform. Stock size for all components dissolved or suspended in the water column over the tidal cycle were calculated from concentration and discharge measurements for each sampling point.

Nitrogen isotopic ratios are expressed in the delta notation (δ15N, ‰) relative to atmospheric nitrogen and mostly given as Δδ15N (isotopic enrichment), corrected for natural abundance levels of 15N by subtracting the δ15N value of similar samples collected at the same time relative to maximum tide at T0. The tracer content (excess 15N) in each nitrogen pool was determined from the isotopic enrichment and stock size, and a total 15N inventory and 15N-flow balance was constructed for each tide. Similar inventories were made for the conservative tracer (Br−) and unlabeled nitrogen pools. Net ecosystem nitrification rate was calculated from the net transfer of 15N from the added ammonium tracer pool to the nitrate pool over the first tide (T0) (15N mass balance) and from the linear increase in isotopic enrichment of NO3− during T0 ebb.

Air–water exchange fluxes of 14N2O and 15N2 were calculated from the product of gas transfer or piston velocity (k) and the partial pressure of the gas. Gas transfer velocities in estuaries depend on various factors, including current velocity, wind velocity, and fetch, and we have therefore calculated a lower and upper limit based on k values of 5 (in the absence of wind) and 50 cm h−1, the range of observations in the Scheldt estuary (Borges et al. 2004). Water surface area available for exchange was based on water height and digital terrain model.

Results

**Hydrodynamics and creek characterization**—The average tidal cycle in the creek showed a normal diurnal sinusoidal tide. The flood lasted ~80 min (Table 1), and after high tide,
Fig. 3. Water level, $O_2$, and nitrogen pools in the creek below the sampling platform as a function of time relative to maximum tidal height in all seven tides. (a) Water height, (b) $O_2$ saturation, (c) suspended particulate nitrogen concentration (SPN), (d) dissolved ammonium concentration ($NH_4^+$), (e) dissolved nitrate and nitrite concentration ($NO_3^- + NO_2^-$), and (f) dissolved nitrous oxide concentration ($N_2O$). The three phases of the tidal cycle (flood, ebb, and seepage) are indicated above figures, with arrow indicating the direction of the water flow.

the water dropped according to a normal tidal curve until the bottom of the creek was nearly reached (Fig. 3a). The main ebb lasted for 106 to 144 min depending of the tidal height. Then water seeping out of the sediment and between vegetation kept flowing out (average speed $\sim 6$ cm s$^{-1}$) until the next flood (after 8.5–9.5 h). Current speeds in the creek strongly depended on the level of the high tide, but the same spatial pattern was observed during all seven tides. Flood currents were relatively constant ($\sim 20$ cm s$^{-1}$), while ebb currents showed more variation ($20–50$ cm s$^{-1}$) with time and depth. Maximum ebb current were up to 66% faster than maximum flood current and current speeds were always higher near the surface than at the bottom (up to 60%) higher). The amount of water covering the study area estimated by the digital terrain model varied by a factor of 2.1 among the seven tides studied, while the calculated amount of water entering and leaving the marsh varied by factors of 2.6 and 2.5, respectively (Table 1). Except for T9, the exported water volume exceeded the incoming volume. The calculated export generally deviated less from the volume estimated by the digital terrain model than the estimated import volume, indicating the import to be underestimated. However, Br$^-$ mass balance during T9 indicates the ebb water budget to be slightly overestimated (see following). Between tides, $65 \pm 2$ m$^3$ water (seepage) was exported, corresponding to 2.5–7.1% of the total water volume depending on maximum tidal height.

Water temperature and pH varied from 17°C to 19°C and from 7.4 to 7.7 across all tides, respectively. Except at T9, maximum tide, when the conservative tracer (Br$^-$) increased the conductivity by 30% (see following), conductivity was relatively constant ($\sim 1$ mS cm$^{-1}$) over the tidal cycle and between tides. Initial turbidity in the floodwater was high (80–200 nephelometric turbidity units [NTU]), but turbidity decreased rapidly to $<50$ NTU in most tides. In the highest tides, however, turbidity remained high during flood and the first part of the ebb, showing erratic temporal patterns. The initial floodwater was relatively oxic (40–90%), but dissolved oxygen concentrations rapidly decreased to $\sim 10$% saturation, and the water was hypoxic ($<50$ $\mu$mol L$^{-1}$) during most of the main tide (Fig. 3b). During ebb $[O_2]$ increased linearly ($r^2 = 0.92, p < 0.01$) as a function of de-
Following a second water, similar low concentrations were observed in all initial floodwaters of magnitude lower (Fig. 3f). Concentrations of SPN mimicked that of nitrate, but concentrations were three or more orders of magnitude lower (Fig. 3f). Concentrations of SPN were generally highest (40–148 μmol L\(^{-1}\)) in the initial floodwater, but decreased with time (Fig. 3c). In the three highest tides (T\(_0\), T\(_2\), and T\(_5\)), additional peaks in SPN coincided with maxima in flow rates and turbidity during flood as well as ebb. Low [SPN] (3–11 μmol L\(^{-1}\)) was always observed in the seepage, likely reflecting particle settling, consistent with low NTU values.

### Conservative tracer distribution—Addition of a conservative tracer (NaBr) to the tracer solution enabled us to monitor the distribution of the label within the study site and indeed verified that a rather even distribution was obtained (Table 2). Bromide concentrations were, however, slightly higher (0.35 ± 0.05 mmol L\(^{-1}\)) in lower-placed water traps than in higher ones (0.27 ± 0.06 mmol L\(^{-1}\)), indicating that tracer was initially released at a slightly higher relative rate than during the bulk of the tide. The peak in bromide concentration (0.6 mmol L\(^{-1}\)) in the first sample of the outgoing tide shows that tracer addition was carried out for too long and/or at too high a rate at the turn of the tide (Fig. 4). It appears that the tracer addition valves were not closed sufficiently fast at the rather abrupt halt in tidal inflow. However, this last labeled body of water quickly left the creek again and therefore did not mix with the main body of water covering the marsh. The very uniform concentration (0.26 ± 0.02 mmol L\(^{-1}\)) in the remaining water leaving at T\(_0\) together with the water trap (Table 2) and T\(_0\) maximum tide water samples (0.24 ± 0.11 mmol L\(^{-1}\)) substantiate that the tracer was relatively evenly distributed over the entire study area. Recovery of the added Br\(^-\) in the mass balance (T\(_0\)) was 115%, indicating that the ebb water volume during T\(_0\) was overestimated. In addition, bromide was slightly elevated in the last part of the outflow of T\(_0\) and T\(_5\), with minor influence on the Br\(^-\) mass balance due to low water volumes.

### Stable isotopes—The ammonium pool in the T\(_0\) ebb water (directly after the ~80-min period of tracer addition to the floodwater) was enriched 1.975–4.735% (Δδ\(^{15}\)N\(_{\it{H}^4}\)O) (Fig. 5a). Enrichment of samples collected from the 12 marsh sampling stations at T\(_0\) maximum tide was well within this

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vegetation type</th>
<th>Station no.</th>
<th>Surface height (m)</th>
<th>Flooding duration (T(_0)) (min)</th>
<th>Bromide &lt;3.4 m (μmol L(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Bromide &gt;3.4 m (μmol L(^{-1}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reed</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.23</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>339±54</td>
<td>189±23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.97</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>352±80</td>
<td>239±53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.06</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>323±11</td>
<td>250±12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Willow</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.15</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>381±63</td>
<td>331±32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.34</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>228±26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>292</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ruderal</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3.31</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>230±22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>358</td>
<td>262±31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3.31</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>235±6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creek</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.11</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>366</td>
<td>283±23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2.87</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>360±16</td>
<td>371±5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2.67</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>385±50</td>
<td>264±51</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Vegetation type, topographic level (relative to mean sea level), duration of flooding at T\(_0\), and water trap bromide concentrations (T\(_0\)) at the 12 sampling stations. Water traps are divided into traps placed above and below 3.4 m above mean sea level. Locations of sampling stations are shown in Fig. 1b.

Increasing water height to ~50% saturation at the end of all tides. Generally, T\(_{\text{sh}}\) water was slightly higher in dissolved oxygen compared to the other tides.

Ammonium concentrations in the floodwater varied greatly and revealed a bell-shaped distribution pattern over the tidal cycle (Fig. 3d). Initially below 20 μmol L\(^{-1}\), concentrations gradually increased to 60–120 μmol L\(^{-1}\) at maximum tide, followed by a gradual decrease to 10–20 μmol L\(^{-1}\) at the end of the main ebb tide. During seepage, a slight increase in [NH\(_3\)] was observed. Similar patterns were observed in all tides, but the maximum concentration varied by a factor of two between tides. Only at maximum tidal height did [NH\(_3\)] match that of the main river (105–120 μmol L\(^{-1}\)). [NH\(_4\)] was inversely correlated with O\(_2\) saturation (O\(_2\)) ([NH\(_4\)] = 463.5 × O\(_2\)^{−0.83}; r\(^2\) = 0.79, p < 0.001).

The NO\(_3\) + NO\(_2\) concentrations showed a bimodal distribution curve over the tidal cycle (Fig. 3e). From relatively low (40–90 μmol L\(^{-1}\)) concentrations in the initial floodwater, [NO\(_3\)] + [NO\(_2\)] rapidly increased to 400–450 μmol L\(^{-1}\) halfway into the flood period, but subsequently decreased slightly to ~350–400 μmol L\(^{-1}\) at maximum tide. Following a second [NO\(_3\)] + [NO\(_2\)] peak midway through the ebb tide, concentrations gradually decreased to ~250–350 μmol L\(^{-1}\) at the end of the main tide and continued to decrease in the seepage. During most of the main tide, [NO\(_3\)] + [NO\(_2\)] was significantly higher than in the main river (300–320 μmol L\(^{-1}\)). Nitrite (NO\(_2\)) accounted for 2–17% of the NO\(_3\) + NO\(_2\), with maximum contributions at maximum tide. Overall, the dissolved inorganic N pool (DIN = NH\(_4\) + NO\(_3\) + NO\(_2\)) was relatively constant (450–480 μmol L\(^{-1}\)) for the main duration of all tides. From the end of ebb, however, [DIN] gradually decreased to relatively low (60–100 μmol L\(^{-1}\)) concentrations in the seepage water. Similar low concentrations were observed in all initial floodwater samples. Onward NO\(_3\) + NO\(_2\) will collectively be referred to as nitrate (NO\(_3\)).

Nitrous oxide (N\(_2\)O) concentrations were only determined in the first three tides, in which the temporal patterns roughly mimicked that of nitrate, but concentrations were three orders of magnitude lower (Fig. 3f). Concentrations of SPN
Conservative tracer distribution

Fig. 4. (a) Bromide concentration in the floodwater during the seven tides in relation to time relative to maximum tidal height; (b) changes in ratio between excess 15N in NH₄⁺, NO₃⁻, and DIN and conservative tracer Br⁻ concentrations during T₀ ebb and seepage (insert shows DI 15N:B r on an unbroken time scale); and (c) changes in ratio between excess 15N in NH₄⁺, NO₃⁻, and DIN and conservative tracer Br⁻ concentrations from end of label addition (T₀ maximum) to Tₙ. Symbols with (+) indicate seepage phase. Note the different scales on the x-axis.

The initial excess concentration of ¹⁵N-NH₄⁺ (calculated as the measured ¹⁵N-NH₄⁺ concentration minus the natural abundance concentration) in the T₀ ebb water was high (1.8 µmol L⁻¹; Fig. 5f), since too much label was added at the end of the label addition period (see Conservative tracer distribution). Thereafter, the concentration of excess ¹⁵N-NH₄⁺ was relatively constant (0.7 µmol L⁻¹) for the main duration of the ebb tide but decreased to 0.3 µmol L⁻¹ toward the end of the main tide. Removal of ¹⁵N from the ammonium pool during T₀ was, however, clearly evident from the decrease in ¹⁵N-NH₄⁺:Br⁻ concentration ratio from 3.1 × 10⁻³ initially to 1.0 × 10⁻³ at the end of the ebb tide (Fig. 4b). In the seepage water following T₀ and initial T₁ samples, low concentrations of ¹⁵N-NH₄⁺ were detected. Thereafter, ¹⁵N-NH₄⁺ concentrations were not significantly different from natural abundance levels.

The temporal pattern of excess ¹⁵NO₃⁻ (Fig. 5g) roughly mimicked that of the Δ¹⁵NO₃⁻, except that the maximum at T₀ was observed in the seepage and at the end of ebb in the following tides. During T₀ ebb, the concentration of excess ¹⁵NH₄⁺ decreased 4.15 times faster than the corresponding excess ¹⁵NO₃⁻ concentration increased (inferred from slopes of curves in Fig. 5f,g), indicating that 24% of the transformed ¹⁵N ammonium was nitrified. An increase in the ¹⁵NO₃⁻:Br⁻ concentration ratio over time further demonstrates incorporation of ¹⁵N into the nitrate (NO₃⁻) pool during T₀ (Fig. 4b). In subsequent tides, high ¹⁵NO₃⁻:Br⁻ concentration ratios were also observed, especially halfway through T₁ ebb, at which time ¹⁵NO₃⁻:Br⁻ was twice as high as ¹⁵N:Br⁻ in the tracer solution (Fig. 4c). Overall, the excess dissolved inorganic ¹⁵N (DI ¹⁵N):Br⁻ concentration ratio decreased linearly during both T₀ ebb and seepage, but the decrease was one order of magnitude faster during ebb (Fig. 4b, insert). This decreasing ratio further demonstrates that NO₃⁻ was not the only sink for ¹⁵NH₄⁺. Excess ¹⁵N concentration in the particulate pool showed a temporal pattern similar to nitrate (Fig. 5h), but concentrations were more than one order of magnitude lower.

Excess ¹⁵N-N₂O concentrations (Fig. 5i) mimicked those of nitrate over the tidal cycle, but concentrations were three orders of magnitude lower. Estimated water–air gas ex-
Fig. 5. Isotopic enrichment ($\Delta \delta$) (above natural abundance level) in (a) NH$_4^+$, (b) NO$_3^-$, (c) SPN, (d) N$_2$O, and (e) N$_2$, and excess $^{15}$N concentration of (f) $^{15}$NH$_4^+$, (g) $^{15}$NO$_3^-$, (h) $^{15}$N-SPN, (i) N$_2$O, and (j) N$_2$ in the floodwater of the six tides. Insert in panel a shows an enlargement of the lower left part of panel a. The three phases of the tidal cycle (flood, ebb, and seepage) are indicated above figures, with arrow indicating the direction of the water flow.
Nitrogen transformation rates—Bottle incubations with $^{15}$N revealed WNR rates of 0.40–0.67 $\mu$mol L$^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$ over the T$_{31}$ tidal cycle (Fig. 6). WNR were linearly correlated with $[\text{NH}_4]^+$ ($r^2 = 0.80, p < 0.05$), except during seepage, when relatively high rates were observed. WNR were seven to ten times higher than uptake rates of ammonium into the particulate pool, except in one ebb sample, in which exceptionally high (0.27 $\mu$mol L$^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$) uptake rates were observed.

In situ transfer of $^{15}$N from the added ammonium to the nitrate pool as a result of nitrification is directly evident from the increase in $\Delta[15]$NO$_3^-$ during T$_0$ ebb (Fig. 5b). A simple estimate of whole-ecosystem nitrification rate during marsh flooding can be obtained from the linear increase ($r^2 = 0.93, p < 0.05$) in the excess $^{15}$N-NO$_3^-$ concentration (Fig. 5g) during T$_0$ ebb tide. Assuming complete symmetry of the flow patterns of flood and ebb and no or limited mixing of the water (i.e., the first body of water entering the study area is the last to leave), the net ecosystem nitrification rate (ENR) can be expressed according to:

$$\text{ENR}_{\text{regression}} = \frac{\alpha}{\%^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NH}_4}}$$

where $\alpha$ is the slope of excess $^{15}$NO$_3^-$ during T$_0$ ebb as a function of $2 \times$ sampling time after T$_0$-maximum (accounting for residence time effectively being twice the duration between T$_0$-maximum and sampling time), and $\%^{15}$N$_{\text{NH}_4}$ is the average percentage of the ammonium pool labeled with $^{15}$N. Another estimate of ENR during T$_0$ can be determined from mass balance calculations according to:

$$\text{ENR}_{\text{mass-balance}} = \frac{\Sigma^{15}\text{NO}_3^-}{V \times T \times \%^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NH}_4}}$$

where $\Sigma^{15}$NO$_3^-$ is the total amount of $^{15}$NO$_3^-$ exported during T$_0$ ebb, V is the total water volume in the study area, T is the duration of the main tide (flood + ebb), and $\%^{15}$N$_{\text{NH}_4}$ is the average percentage of the ammonium pool labeled with $^{15}$N. Both estimates of ENR are significantly (four to nine times) higher than WNR (Table 3), revealing that the marsh system as a whole is an intense site for nitrification and that most nitrification was associated with sediment and biofilms rather than the water column. Similarly, a mass-balance estimate of the uptake rate of $^{15}$NH$_4^+$ into SPN is almost twice as high (0.11 $\mu$mol h$^{-1}$) as those measured in most bottle incubations (0.06 ± 0.1; exceptionally high value at maximum tide excluded; Fig. 6).

The N$_2$O production rate based on the linear increase in $^{15}$N$_2$O$_3$ concentration (Fig. 5i) and calculated using the same approach as ENR$_{\text{regression}}$ was 6.96 nmol L$^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$, while a rate of 1.41 nmol L$^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$ was obtained from the $^{15}$N$_2$O mass balance. The NO$_3^-$ to N$_2$O ratio was 663 to 1,441, based on the regression and mass-balance approaches, respectively. Including seepage water in the mass-balance estimates rendered a similar NO$_3^-$ : N$_2$O ratio (1,446 : 1).

The fate of $^{15}$N—$^{15}$N mass-balance budgets revealed that 31% of the added tracer was either transformed or taken up by the marsh biota (Table 4). Of the 1.98 moles of $^{15}$N added, 8.7% was nitrified, while 69% was exported as ammonium (unprocessed) during the first tide. An additional 0.5% was exported as SPN, and only 4% (T$_0$) was retained in the marsh (sinks = sediment, plants, roots, plant litter) (Gribsholt et al. unpubl. data). Collectively, less than 0.01% of the $^{15}$N was recovered in dissolved gas (N$_2$O and N$_2$). The cumulative transfer of $^{15}$N to the different pools changed only slightly in subsequent tides. Thus, the only difference was the amount of label recovered in marsh sinks other than nitrate that accounted for 6.9% and 5.0% after T$_4$ and T$_{31}$, respectively, while the importance of nitrification increased from 8.7% to 9.1% (Table 4). We were able to account for 83–86% of added $^{15}$N. The remaining 14–17% was probably transferred to the dissolved organic nitrogen (DON) pool and exported during ebb water or was denitrified and lost to the atmosphere as N$_2$ during low tide (sediment–air flux) (see Discussion). Nitrification was quantitatively the most important transformation process for $^{15}$N.

Table 3. Nitrification rate estimates for the water phase (WNR) and whole marsh ecosystem (ENR). Water phase incubations were done during T$_{-2}$, while whole ecosystem rates were determined from T$_{0}$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compartment</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Nitrification rate ($\mu$mol L$^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Water phase</td>
<td>$^{15}$N bottle incubations</td>
<td>0.5±0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whole ecosystem</td>
<td>Regression (Eq. 1)</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$^{15}$N mass balance (Eq. 2)</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nutrient mass balance*</td>
<td>−7.3−21.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* All seven tides, derived from NO$_3^-$ mass balance.

Fig. 6. Nitrification rate and rate of ammonium uptake into the suspended particulate nitrogen (PN) pool in closed-bottle water incubations. The three phases of the tidal cycle (flood, ebb, and seepage) are indicated above figures, with arrow indicating the direction of the water flow.

change from the entire water surface could account for a loss of 6–60 $\mu$mol $^{15}$N-N$_2$O to the atmosphere during the main T$_{31}$ tide. During T$_0$ seepage, the concentration of excess $^{15}$N$_2$ increased linearly to 2.7 $\mu$mol L$^{-1}$ (Fig. 5j). No $^{15}$N was recovered in the N$_2$ pool during the main tide, and estimated water–air exchange of $^{15}$N$_2$ was zero. Sediment–air gas exchange during emersion was not determined.

**Discussion**
Table 4. \(^{15}\)N mass balance budget. Recovery in the various N-pools after T\(_0\), T\(_{\infty}\), and T\(_{31}\) (cumulative, except sinks). Numbers in parentheses are percentages of the total \(^{15}\)N added.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compartment</th>
<th>T(_0) (mmol)</th>
<th>T(<em>{\infty}-T</em>{31}) (mmol)</th>
<th>T(<em>{\infty}-T</em>{31}) (mmol)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(^{15})N exported unchanged (as (^{15})NH(_3))</td>
<td>1,976 (100)</td>
<td>1,369* (69)</td>
<td>1,369 (69)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(^{15})N transformed</td>
<td>607 (31)</td>
<td>181 (9.1)</td>
<td>181 (9.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(^{15})NO(_3)+ (^{15})NO(_2)</td>
<td>172 (8.7)</td>
<td>13 (0.0)</td>
<td>13 (0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(^{15})N(_2)O</td>
<td>0.13 (0.0)</td>
<td>0.11 (0.0)</td>
<td>0.11 (0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(^{15})N(_2)</td>
<td>9.6 (0.5)</td>
<td>10.0 (0.5)</td>
<td>10.0 (0.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP(^{15})N</td>
<td>79 (4.0)</td>
<td>135 (6.9)</td>
<td>98.1 (5.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SINKS(\dagger)—stored</td>
<td>345 (17)</td>
<td>280 (14)</td>
<td>318 (16)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Decrease in export of \(^{15}\)NH\(_3\) due to small amount pushed back into the marsh during T\(_1\) flood.
\(\dagger\) SINKS collectively refer to uptake into plants, roots, sediment, and plant litter.

Discussion

Whole-ecosystem labeling—The relatively new technique of in situ stable isotope enrichment has increased our understanding of N processing under natural conditions. It allows for the examination of N flow through multiple pools simultaneously while maintaining natural hydrologic and biogeochemical gradients and ecosystem processes. Unconfined, whole-ecosystem \(^{15}\)N enrichments have been carried out in lakes (Kling 1994), streams (Peterson et al. 1997; Hamilton et al. 2001; Wollheim et al. 2001), and estuaries (Holmes et al. 2000; Hughes et al. 2000; Tobias et al. 2003). The present study is, to our knowledge, the first of its kind in tidal marshes, and it yielded several new insights about the functioning of these ecosystems. First, our results unequivocally show that nitrification is one of the most important transformation processes associated with eutrophic freshwater marshes. Moreover, we demonstrated that the large reactive surface area of marsh sediment and biofilms is a key site for nitrification. Finally, we found that freshwater marshes function as nutrient transformers as well as nutrient sinks.

Numerous studies have attempted to draw inferences about marsh–estuarine interactions by measuring nutrient concentrations of flooding and draining water over tidal cycles (mass balance) (Merrill and Cornwell 2000). However, possible net changes are often obscured by large uncertainties in the hydrologic budget, which is also the case in this study. The mass-balance deficit in freshwater at most tides compared to mass-balance ebb water and estimates of maximum standing water volume (digital terrain model) indicate that some water enters the marsh through pathways other than the main creek. Though care was taken, screens backlighting the downstream end of the marsh may not have been completely watertight, and water may have flown into the study area, where screens joined the dikes at the edge of the experimental marsh. Alternatively, model calculations may underestimate the water volume entering the marsh, possibly because of turbulence and heterogeneity in flow velocity profiles. However, the high bromide recovery relative to known amount of tracer release (115%) indicates instead a 15% overestimation of the T\(_0\) ebb water volume. A reduction of the T\(_0\) ebb by 15% would indeed result in an almost closed T\(_0\) water budget, the balance between import and export being only 1.6% rather than the 18.9% reported in Table 1. Whatever the reason, the above-mentioned factors illustrate the shortcomings of traditional mass-balance studies.

Large errors in our conventional mass-balance budget did not allow for reliable whole-system N-transformation estimates. Although the water budget is also used in the calculations of the \(^{15}\)N mass-balance budget, experimental errors in water estimates are of less consequence, because a known amount of tracer is added (import), and only the export relies on the water volume estimates. Thus, the 15% error (overestimation) in the water budget (T\(_0\)) indicated by the bromide data and discussed above results in a 15% error in the \(^{15}\)N budget estimates. The clear observations that \(^{15}\)NH\(_3\) is nitrified and that marsh surfaces are key sites for nitrification as well as nitrogen accumulation highlight the strengths of the whole-ecosystem \(^{15}\)N labeling approach.

The \(^{15}\)N mass-balance calculations revealed that, surprisingly, only a relatively small fraction of the added label was retained within the marsh. Uptake into the various marsh compartments is beyond the scope of this article and is discussed elsewhere (Grisholt et al. unpubl. data), but collectively, sediment, roots, plants, and plant litter retained only \(-4\%\), corresponding to 13% of the \(^{15}\)N transformed (i.e., not exported as \(^{15}\)NH\(_3\)). Uptake by suspended algae and bacteria was limited (<2% of transformed \(^{15}\)N), while nitrate was the largest single pool, accounting for 9% of the added tracer or 30% of the transformed \(^{15}\)N. Nitrification has also been demonstrated to rival assimilative uptake of NH\(_3\) in forested streams (e.g., Mulholland et al. 2000; Hamilton et al. 2001;
Overall, our study shows that the marsh is quite important for N transformation, with almost one-third of the $^{15}$NH$_4^+$ added to floodwater transformed within the first tide. Assuming we overestimated the export of untransformed tracer by 15%, as indicated by the Br$^{-}$ budget, the fraction of transformed $^{15}$N was as high as 40%.

We were able to account for about 86% of the added $^{15}$N and ascribe the missing 14% to either export as DON or denitrification to $N_2$. Detailed process studies summarized by Berman and Bronk (2003) have shown that $^{15}$N uptake by microbes can result in rapid formation of $^{15}$N-enriched DON. Moreover, uptake of $^{15}$N by macrophytes and subsequent leaching of leaves may also result in $^{15}$N enrichment of DON. However, methodological restrictions prevent us from evaluating the significance of DON. Although water-air fluxes were insignificant, gas emission during emersion (sediment–air flux) of $^{15}$N$_2$O and $^{15}$N$_2$ produced by sedimentary nitrification and denitrification may also account for some loss. Furthermore, we recognize that several omitted compartments may represent minor losses as well. For instance, benthic and pelagic faunal components were omitted as a result of very low abundances.

To successfully trace the $^{15}$N and construct a reliable budget for the entire marsh ecosystem, a prerequisite is an even distribution of tracer through the entire study area. For this purpose, we constructed a simple tracer addition device that allowed us to add tracer to the floodwater at a rate approximately proportional to the water volume. Simultaneous addition of the conservative tracer Br$^{-}$ allowed us to confirm that a relatively even distribution was indeed obtained. Too much tracer solution was, however, added directly around the turn of the tide, resulting in Br$^{-}$ concentrations twice as high as those observed in the main tide, and this was also reflected in a peak in excess concentrations of $^{15}$NH$_4^+$, $^{15}$NO$_3^-$, and $^{15}$N-SPM. Since the last body of water entering the study site is also the first to exit, we believe this over-labeling to be of little consequence for the overall labeling and N processing within the study site and therefore for the interpretation of the results. This perception is corroborated by the relatively even Br$^{-}$ concentrations recovered in the marsh water traps, indicating that we successfully obtained an even tracer distribution. On average, the added tracer increased the $^{15}$N content to 1.3% of the ammonium pool and increased the total NH$_4^+$ concentration by approximately 14%. Nutrient concentration variability during flood tide (Fig. 3), however, resulted in a higher initial degree of labeling compared to the main tide. This initial period accounted for only a minor part of the total water volume, and therefore, we consider this of little significance. The added tracer probably did not accelerate in situ rates but merely substituted for in situ N species.

In this study the $^{15}$N tracer was added in a short pulse (1.5 h) as opposed to the long-term (up to 6 weeks) continuous tracer addition approach adopted in previous $^{15}$N whole-ecosystem tracer studies (e.g., Mulholland et al. 2000; Tobias et al. 2003; Ashkenas et al. 2004). The constraint of periodic, two-directional water flows in the tidal marsh and the complete drainage between tides renders a longer addition unfeasible. The short pulse labeling is, however, adequate for tracing the short–time scale processes such as nitrification. We added $^{15}$N in the form of NH$_4^+$ primarily because ammonium is preferred over nitrate by most macrophytes as well as microphytes. Thus, a higher turnover of ammonium rather than nitrate would be expected. Also, nitrate concentrations in the Scheldt are much higher than those of ammonium, and $^{15}$N enrichment of the NO$_3^-$ pool would require a large addition of $^{15}$NO$_3^-$, with high related costs.

**Nitrification and the importance of the marsh surface area—**Nitrification was quantitatively the most important fate for $^{15}$N in our study, with nitrification accounting for 30% of the $^{15}$N transformation. The validity of this mass-balance estimate is strongly supported by the estimate of the relative importance of nitrification (24%) based on the straightforward relation during T$_0$ ebb between the observed decrease and increase in excess $^{15}$NH$_4^+$ and $^{15}$NO$_3^-$ concentrations, respectively. Nitrification has also been reported to account for a substantial fraction (up to 50%) of the total $^{15}$NH$_4^+$ removal in situ $^{15}$N tracer studies of forested streams (Hamilton et al. 2001; Merriam et al. 2002; Ashkenas et al. 2004).

The occurrence of nitrification in the marsh is evident in several ways and is most clearly evident in the direct transfer of $^{15}$N from the added ammonium pool to the nitrate pool, as seen in the increase in $\Delta^6$$^{15}$NO$_3^-$ (Fig. 6b). Although the pelagic ammonium pool was only isotopically enriched during T$_0$ enriched nitrate was also exported in the following tides. This indicates that the marsh acts as at least a temporary sink for N, either through pore-water DIN exchange, sorption, or rapid assimilation by benthic microbes and subsequent mineralization. The very high $^{15}$NO$_3^-$ : Br$^{-}$ ratios observed, especially during T$_0$, indicate a biological mechanism (microbial uptake and subsequently remineralization by nitrifiers) rather than physicochemical sorption as the main sink.

The significantly higher (four to nine times) nitrification rates observed on a whole-ecosystem scale (ENR) compared to the water column alone (WNR) clearly demonstrate that even in this nutrient-rich system, the large reactive surface area of sediments and biofilms in the marsh are the key sites for nitrification. Three factors may potentially be important controls on nitrification in the marsh ecosystem: nitrifier biomass, oxygen dynamics, and ammonium availability for nitrifiers. The distribution, abundance, and activity of nitrifiers is known to be influenced by their attachment to particles (Prosser 1989), and the surfaces of the dense vegetation and plant litter in the marsh may provide an excellent substratum for microbial colonization, just as epiphytic communities on submersed macrophytes have been demonstrated to be a major source of nitrification in nutrient-rich aquatic environments (Eriksson and Weisner 1999). Several authors have argued that establishment of macrophyte beds, a conspicuous, defining feature of the tidal marsh, should shift the location of greatest algal and bacterial growth from the water column and sediment to benthic plant surfaces because of the multiple advantages associated with an epiphytic biofilm habitat (e.g., Wetzel and Sondergaard 1998). Benthic nitrification may, however, also be enhanced as oxygen-releasing roots of marsh plants provide oxic subsurface microniches in otherwise anoxic sediments in which ammonium-oxidizing bacteria may thrive (Bodelier et al. 1996; Gribsholt and
Kristensen 2002). Nitrifying bacteria can survive as viable inactive cells during periods of low substrate concentrations or poor growing conditions such as low [O₂] (e.g., Verhagen et al. 1992; Bodelier et al. 1996) and can react instantaneously to favorable conditions. Although hypoxic conditions prevailed, O₂ exchange is rapid in the shallow, turbulent water, and O₂ was never fully depleted. The rapid response of the nitrifying population to inundation indicates adaptation to the specific environmental conditions in the tidal freshwater marsh. Because of the relatively high N availability in the floodwater, plant and heterotrophic bacteria competition for NH₄⁺ becomes low enough to allow nitrifiers to flourish. Some ammonium limitation may occur, though, as at least WNR was correlated with ammonium concentrations. The importance of the marsh surface in N transformation is even evident from the intriguing temporal patterns in nutrient loadings observed in all tides. Only around the turn of the tides (maximum tide) did the [NH₄⁺] equal that of the main river, while [NO₃⁻] even exceeded it approximately halfway through flood as well as ebb tides. These large differences between floodwater and Scheldt water nutrient loading can be explained by the long distance over marsh creeks and surfaces through which the water travels before arriving at the study site and by the influence (uptake as well as transformation) this estuarine environment has already exerted on the water. The body of water initially entering the study site appears to experience the most contact with the sediments and biofilms, and thus the nitrifying (and denitrifying) microorganisms associated with it. Correspondingly, the pattern of decreasing [NH₄⁺] and increasing [NO₃⁻] in the first part of the ebb clearly shows that ammonium is being oxidized to nitrate within our study site while inundated. During the seepage phase, however, low and decreasing [DIN] could indicate N removal through temporary assimilation and denitrification.

Between tides, water continued to trickle out of our study site. The long distance to the main river, however, meant that although the water had left the study site, not all water left the marsh before the next flood. Some water remained in the marsh creek system and was pushed back into the study site with the next tide, as is evident from the elevated bromide concentration in the initial T₁-T₅ samples. While residing within the marsh, this water had been in intimate contact with the reactive marsh surface sediment, and N removal was very evident, as all initial floodwater samples were extremely depleted in DIN relative to the river water.

**Denitrification**—Denitrification is often considered the most important ultimate nitrogen removal pathway from marshes and other aquatic systems and has thus attracted much attention. On ecosystem scales, the importance of denitrification has most often been inferred from mass-balance studies, as the 'missing part' and is generally reported responsible for most nitrogen retention in freshwater ecosystems. Unfortunately, denitrification was not completely covered by our measurements. A small increase (2%) in the isotopic ratio of N₂, however, as well as the temporal DIN concentration dynamics, indicate that denitrification occurs, especially during the seepage phase. During low tide, topographic and hydraulic gradients along creek banks can lead to drainage of marsh pore water into the creek; thus, the seepage water consists of a mixture of surface water trapped in depressions and between vegetation and pore water. Thus, this water has been in intimate contact with sediments and biofilms, where oxic and anoxic microchymes may support high rates of coupled nitrification–denitrification.

No significant labeling of the N₂ pool was observed during the main tides. The lack of ¹⁵N₂ accumulation, however, does not exclude the possibility that denitrification took place. First, ¹⁵N was added as ammonium, and the relatively short duration of the tide (∼3 h) may not be sufficient for the transfer of ¹⁵N via nitrification and denitrification to N₂ to occur at a sufficient rate for detection, even with a tight coupling between nitrification–denitrification. Second, the NO₃⁻ formed (∼0.15 μmol L⁻¹) was diluted in the very high background [NO₃⁻] (∼350 μmol L⁻¹), and ¹⁵N derived from the labeled NO₃⁻ pool (Δδ¹⁵N, Fig. 5) is therefore rather low. Third, since denitrification occurs mainly, perhaps even only, in the sediment, and the δ¹⁵NO₃⁻ of pore water may not have been enriched significantly (because it is derived mainly from sedimentary ammonification and nitrification), it is likely that N₂ produced was not isotopically enriched. Fourth, part of the N₂ produced may have escaped to the atmosphere.

Besides being an end product of denitrification, the ¹⁵N₂ recovered during seepage could theoretically also be produced by anaerobic ammonium oxidation coupled to nitrate reduction (anammox) (¹⁵NH₄⁺ + NO₂⁻ → ¹⁵N₂ + 2 H₂O) (Van de Graaf et al. 1995). However, sediment incubations (performed according to Thamdrup and Dalsgaard 2002) in 2003 revealed that anammox is not an important process in this tidal freshwater marsh. Whole-core incubation of marsh sediment, on the other hand, revealed high denitrification rates (∼0.5 mmol m⁻² h⁻¹) in May 2003 (Gribsholt unpubl. data), similar to those reported for a nearby tidal freshwater marsh by Verhoever et al. (2001) and in the Scheldt estuary by Middelburg et al. (1995), and much higher than those reported for more oligohaline North American freshwater marshes. More work is needed to determine the spatial and temporal patterns of denitrification and its importance for N-transformation in tidal freshwater marshes.

**N₂O formation**—Coinciding with the increase in ¹⁵NO₃⁻ during T₅, we observed a clear increase in ¹⁵N-labeled dissolved N₂O. Nitrous oxide is produced by three microbial processes: nitrification, denitrification, and dissimilatory reduction of nitrate to ammonia (Seitzinger 1988). While the importance and mechanism for N₂O production by denitrification are relatively well understood, our appreciation of the importance of nitrification for the global N₂O budget is still limited. The concurrent increase in ¹⁵N₂O and ¹⁵NO₃⁻ after addition of labeled ammonium observed in our study strongly indicates that the observed ¹⁵N₂O production is largely due to nitrification. Thus, during T₅, excess ¹⁵N₂O is directly correlated with excess ¹⁵NO₃⁻ concentrations (r² = 0.80, p < 0.05). Moreover, the maximum Δδ¹⁵N of N₂O is higher than that of NO₃⁻, indicating that it comes directly from ammonium. This conclusion is further corroborated by the observed hypoxic conditions during large parts of all tides, as concentrations of O₂ have been clearly recognized...
as the key control on N₂O production by both nitrification and denitrification, especially during high nutrient availability (e.g., Goreau et al. 1980; Jørgensen et al. 1984; de Bie et al. 2002).

During nitrification, oxidation of NH₄⁺ has been shown to produce a NO₃⁻ : N₂O ratio between 10:1 and 300:1 (Cole and Caraco 2001). Although largely produced by nitrification, the observed marsh N₂O production rate was quite low, and the NO₃⁻ : N₂O ratio (663:1 to 1,441:1) is high compared to previous estimates based on studies with isolates. Middelburg et al. (1995) reported sediment–air N₂O fluxes and nitrification rates in tidal sediments along the Scheldt estuary corresponding to NO₃⁻ : N₂O ratios of 278 to 3,333. Our whole-system estimates include not only the production but also the consumption of N₂O and NO₃⁻. Since most nitrification occurs in the sediment, a large portion of the produced N₂O is most likely immediately consumed by denitrifying bacteria in the sediment, and thus never reaches the water phase.

Globally, human alterations of the nitrogen cycle have increased concentrations of the potent greenhouse gas N₂O (Vitousek et al. 1997), and while the increasing concentration of N₂O is clearly documented, the source of that increase remains a matter of some discussion. Most likely, many anthropogenic sources contribute (Vitousek et al. 1997), and although not quantified in this study, our results indicate that nitrification in wetlands receiving hypoxic, nutrient-rich water may contribute as well. While the importance of nitrification as a source of nitrous oxide triggered by low oxygen concentrations (in conjunction with high ammonium availability) has previously been shown on the estuarine scale (Barnes and Owens 1998; de Bie et al. 2002; Punshon and Moore 2004), this is, to our knowledge, the first study specific to tidal marsh ecosystems.

Marshes and the Scheldt estuary—To determine the role of tidal–freshwater–marsh nitrification to the nitrogen budget of the Scheldt estuary, we scaled our estimates of nitrification rates in our study site during water cover to the total area of fringing tidal freshwater marshes in the estuary. Estimates are based on the Tn nutrient and water balances and Tn nitrification rates (1.0–2.4 mmol m⁻² h⁻¹) and a yearly inundation period of our study site of 691 h yr⁻¹ (based on topography and mean tidal height at Tielrode [2002]). Annually, 36–81 kg N is nitrified in our study site, corresponding to 1,030–2,340 kg in the ~100,000 m² Tielrode marsh. Consequently, ~46,000–105,000 kg of riverborne ammonium will be nitrified yearly in the 4.5 km² of freshwater marshes fringing the Scheldt, equaling ~1.5–3.5% of the yearly ammonium load to the Scheldt (~3,000,000 kg yr⁻¹, Struyf et al. 2004). Variation in duration of water cover and reactive surface area (sediment, plant stems, litter) due to differences in topography and variations in heterotrophic activity due to nutrient availability and season will determine the processing of N. Therefore, extrapolation from our spring/early summer experiment with relatively high temperatures may overestimate annual nitrification. Water residence time and inundation frequency, however, are longer in large parts of the marsh compared to our study site; thus, our extrapolation to the entire Tielrode marsh may underestimate nitrification. In spite of these limitations, our extrapolations are reasonable because the relative large study area, covering all relevant vegetation types, is a good representative of freshwater marshes along the river. Overall, our results, in combination with those of other studies throughout the estuary, reveal that tidal freshwater marshes contribute little to the overall N-cycling of the Scheldt estuary, which is mainly governed by main-stream water column processes. This is likely due to a combination of the small surface of marshes relative to the overall surface of the estuary, very high nutrient loading, and short water residence time. However, if the large areas of brackish marshes in the Scheldt estuary (~20 km²) transform NH₄⁺ at comparable rates to our freshwater marsh site, as much as 252,000–573,000 kg, or 8.4–19.1% of the yearly ammonium load to the Scheldt, is potentially nitrified in tidal marshes.
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